Case 2:10-cv-00191-RAEDNTPS FATEROISTIFF OF MICHIGAN

SOUTHERN DIVISION

Frank Nali

v. Plaintiff

Corretional Medical Services, Tre.

Dr. Ivens, Dr. C. Huldinson, Dr. Pranistaller

Dr. Berhane, Dr. Rocco, Dr. Virgillio

Dr. Brady Dr. Ayers

Defendants. Jointly and Severally

Case:2:10-cv-12368 Judge: Borman, Paul D MJ: Majzoub, Mona K Filed: 06-15-2010 At 03:13 PM CMP NALI V. CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SE RVICES ET AL (DA)

COMPLAINT

- I Plaintiff is a resident and eitzen of wayne County, Michigan.
- 2 Defendant, Correctional Medical Services, The Cherein after referred to as CMS) was a corporation contracted by Michigan Department of Corrections to provide Health Core to wimakes; as an independent contractor.
- 3 CMS main affice was located at 12647 Olive Blod, St. Louis, MO 63141-6345
- 4 CMS does not conduct business anymore for the Michigan Dept. of Corrections (MDOC).
- 5 Defendants, Dr. Ivens, Dr. Hutchinson, Dr. Berhane, Dr. Rocco, Dr. Virgillio and Dr. Brady were all employed by CMS. Also was Dr. Ayers.
- 5 Dr. Pransteller was employed by MDOC.
- If He true the incidents complained off herein CMS and its employee-dooders conducted browness in Mochigan. At the present time it is understood that CMS and the above named doctors (except Dr. Pranistaller) do not conduct burniess for MDOC, and CMS does not nation a current office in Mochigan.
- I This action is therefore initiated under diversity of citizen.
- 9 The amount in confroversy is over \$ 100,000.00 (one hundred thousand dollars).
- 10 Vo defendant is immune from the action

COUNT I MALPRACTICE - VIOLATION OF MCL 600 2412

11 Plaintiff incorporates 1 through 10.

12 On or about Merch 6, 2006 while plaintiff was housed at Kinrors Correctional Facility

- Case 2:10-cv-00191-RAED-TPG ECF No. 1 filed 06/15/10 PageID.2. Page 2 of 7 plaintiff shipped and fell on the unprotected in ground, and when it occurred plaintiff felt his knee or something within his knee snapped. Plaintiff was unable to get up and had to be taken to the health unit, by being placed on a gurney, and transported by a motorized vehicle.
- 13 Plaintiff was given a full tength immobilizer placed over his left teg and transported to the Emergency room at war Memorial Hospital at Sault Saint Marie.
- I'M to Y ray of plaintiff's left knee was performed, and showed no broken bones.
- 15 Plaintiff was seen the following day or two by Dr. Berhane, ast which time plaintiff requested to be seen by a specialist, and to have an MRI done.
- If Plaintiff requested to be seen by a specialist and to have an MPI done.
- 1) Dr. berhane consulted with her supervisor Dr. I verse regarding obtaining on MPI and a specialist, but Dr. Ivens refused to give her permission to obtain either.
- 18 En order for Dr. berhave to have obtained permission from Dr. Ivens, she had to inform him of plaintiffs diagnosis, and contition.
- 19 Dr. Berhane faited to properly diagnose plaintiff's undihin as only a sprain; although plaintiff kept emphasizing that something was torn around his tonce.
- 20 Plaintiff was subsequently transferred to Hawatha Correctional Facility where Dr. Ayers also refused to have plaintiff evaluated by a specialist, namely an orthopodic surgeon.
- 31 Dr. Ayers kept telling plaintiff that nothing was wrong with plaintiff knee nispite of the several complaints of niability to walk properly, no knee reflex on his left knee, mability to aptend his left knee, a freely anorable (eft patellar; and abvious signs that part of the muscle in plaintiffs left knee, above the potellar, appeared to have disappeared.
- 22 Eventually an MRI was done, and it revealed a poisible anterior cruciate ligament sprain.
- 23 Plaintiff informed Dr. Ayers that the MRI results did not explain the signs and symptoms plaintiff expressed, and continued to request to be evaluated by a specialist, and that request was denied by Dr. Ayers.

my Plaintiff wrote letters to defendants Dr. Hutchinson, and Dr. Pranstalter, explaining his undition, and requested to be evaluated by a specialist. They never responded.

- Case 2:10-cv-00191-RAED-TPG ECF No. 1 filed 06/15/10 PageID.3 Page 3 of 7
 35. Plaintiff was then transferred to 0jibway Correctional Facility where Dr. Rocco refused to have plaintiff evaluated by a specialist, and continued to decay anything was wrong with plaintiff's knee escept a possible sprain as revealed by the MRI report.
- 26. Plaintiff was then transforred to Pugstey Correctional Facility, where Dr. Virgillio refused to have plaintiff evaluated by a specialist, and farted to diagnose plaintiff's condition as anything but a possible sprain.
- when plaintil was transferred to Adrian Correctional Facility he again informed Dr. Brady that he needed to be evaluated by a specialist because the report from the MRI did not 'fit' with the complaints, and the criens and symptoms plaintiff had been appressing. Dr. Brady refused to have plaintiff evaluated by a specialist.
- 28 On or about June 28, 2009 plaintiff's conniction was overturned by the Jederal court and his immediate release from prison was ordered.
- or about December 21, 2009 with about a 75% quadricep tendor supture.
- 30 On a about June 7, 2010 plaintiff saw another specialist who confirmed the diagnosis was a qualricep tender ruphere, and no anterior ligament sprain
- 31 The standard of care for the type of vijury plaintiff sufferred, and the sogne and symptoms plaintiff complained off to all defendants, was to refer plaintiff to a specialist. They all refused to , or were told not to have plaintiff evaluated by a specialist by their superiors, namely, Dr. Ivens, Dr. Hutchinson or Dr. Pranustaller.
- 32 All defendents also failed to properly diagnose, and for treat plaintiffs injury correctly.
- 33 Defendants faiture to diagnose plaintiffs injury, and faiture to refer plaintiff to be evaluated by a specialist, prevented plaintiff from having very necessary, and immediate surgery to repair the ruphired tendon.
- 34 Defendants active amounted to malpraetice in violation of the Michigan Statute.
- 35 Plaintiff has sufferred permanent injury, and subsequent disability that prevents him from tirring a normal life, and from obtaining any meaning ful employment

- 36 Plaintiff incorporates 1 through 35.
- 37 Defendants had a special duty under physician patient relationship to diagnose plaintiff's condition accurately, or to refer plaintiff to the proper specialist for proper evaluation and diagnosis; and to treat plaintiff's condition properly, which in the instant case would have been surgical intervention.
- 38 All defendants fasted to provide plaintiff with the care he needed, and therefore breached their duty to plaintiff
- 39 Plaintiff is now permanently disabled, and damaged not just physically, but encotronally and financially.
- Defendants actions or mactions one a direct and propriete cause of plaintiffs disability and damages; but for defendants actions or faitures plaintiff would in not be disabled physically, encolinelly, and financially.

COUNT III RESPONDENT SUPERIOR

- 41 Plantiff margorates 1 through 40.
- 42 Under the principle of Respondent Superior CMS is limble for the actions and low failures of its employees that have caused harm to plaintiff.
- 43 Therefore CMS must be trable for defendants actions and or machine, as expressed above.

DAMAGES

- 44 Plaintiff incorporates 1 through 43.
- He Plaintiff has been damaged by the permanent disability, enotional and mental stress, formulaid loss, and the inability to obtain gainful employment. Social disengagement, and family disasseruation; and the midothity to pursue a normal existence.

RELIEF SOUGHT

Plaintiff requests an award in favor of plaintiff on all counts, in excess of \$100,000.00 punitive Laurenges in excess of \$100,000.00 compensating damages in excess of \$100,000.00 plus interest and costs, and any other award deemed necessary.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Now comes plaintiff and requests a trial by jury, and all awards to be decided by the jury.

Date : 6/15/10

Submitted by!

Mi

Frank Meli

484 Albert Rd

Grosse Parile Farus, M1 48236

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

CIVIL COVER SHEET County in which action arose

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as proby local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of init

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Frank Na	INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	DEFENDANTS Correctional Medical Services
• •	e of First Listed Plaintiff Wayne EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant ST. Louis, Mo (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)
In Pro Per	ne, Address, and Telephone Number) H84 Allard Rd Grosse Pointe Parms M1 482 DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Case:2:10-cv-12368 Judge: Borman, Paul D MJ: Majzoub, Mona K Filed: 06-15-2010 At 03:13 PM CMP NALI V. CORRECTIONAL MEDICAL SE RVICES ET AL (DA)
O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	S. Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State PTF DEF Incorporated or Principal Place
2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	- Priorientaresectival a garegorice i dell'estatore
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment Æ Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Slander 368 Asbestos Person 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability 340 Marine Product Liability 370 Other Fraud Liability 371 Truth in Lending 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability 385 Property Damage	
X 1 Original 1 2 Re	ate Court Appellate Court	Appeal to Judge from another district (specify) Grant Global Statutes unless diversity): Appeal to Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON DOCUMENT	Malgractus
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:		CHECK YES at a Standard in complain
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER
DATE	SIGNATURE OF A	TTORNEY OF RECORD
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		MAG RIDGE

PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 83.11

1.	Is this a case that has been previously dismissed?	Yes
If yes, give	e the following information:	∭ No
Court:		
Case No.:		
Judge:		
•		
	Other than stated above, are there any pending or discontinued or dismissed companion cases in this court, including state court? (Companion cases are it appears substantially similar evidence will be off or related parties are present and the cases arise of transaction or occurrence.)	or any other e matters in which ered or the same
	the following information:	
	Western Dahnet	
Case No.:	Ned Dockeded es yel	
Judge:	Not foran or yet	
Notes : S	re defendants in this case are also de	lendant in the Western District